

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/614,256	HARRISON, SCOTT	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sharon E. Payne	2875		
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____		
(1) <u>Sharon E. Payne</u> .	(3) _____.		
(2) <u>Patrick Stellitano</u> .	(4) _____.		
Date of Interview: <u>15 June 2005</u>	Time: <u>Approx. 3:00 p.m. EST</u>		
Type of Interview:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No			
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
<i>None</i>			
Claims discussed:			
<i>20, 21 and 22</i>			
Prior art documents discussed:			
<i>Megson (U.K. Patent Specification 1,541,737)</i>			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>It was agreed to amend claims 20-22 to read that the light source substantially encircles the dartboard to get make the claims allowable over Megson.</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)